## The Design of C: A Rational Reconstruction (cont.)

## Goals of this Lecture

- Recall from last lecture...
- Help you learn about:
  - The decisions that were available to the designers of C
  - The decisions that were **made by** the designers of C
    and thereby...
  - C!
- Why?
  - Learning the design rationale of the C language provides a richer understanding of C itself
    - ... and might be more interesting than simply learning the language itself !!!
  - A power programmer knows both the programming language and its design rationale

# Character Data Types

- Issue: What character data types should C have?
- Thought process
  - The most common character codes are (were!)
     ASCII and EBCDIC
  - ASCII is 7-bit
  - EBCDIC is 8-bit
- Decisions
  - Provide type char
  - Type **char** should be one byte



# Character Data Types (cont.)

- Tangential Decision
  - char should be an integer type
    - Can use type char to store small integers
    - Can do arithmetic with data of type char
    - Can freely mix char and integer data
      - $-(\dot{a} + 1)$  is 'b' (assuming ASCII)
      - ('0' + 5) is '5' (assuming ASCII)

How does Java handle these expressions?

Was that a good decision?

## **Character Constants**

- Issue: How should C represent character constants?
- Thought process
  - Could represent character constants as int constants, with truncation of high-order bytes
  - More readable to use single quote syntax ('a', 'b', etc.); but then...
  - Need special way to represent the single quote character
  - Need special ways to represent non-printable characters (e.g. newline, tab, space, etc.)
- Decisions
  - Provide single quote syntax
  - Use backslash to express special characters

## Character Constants (cont.)

#### Examples

```
the a character
- 'a'
                             the a character
- (char) 97
                     the a character
- (char) 0141
                     the a character, octal character form
- '\o141'
- ' \times 61 '
                     the a character, hexadecimal character form
                     the null character
- '\0'
- '\a'
                     bell
- '\b'
                     backspace
                     formfeed
- '\f'
                     newline
- '\n'
- '\r'
                     carriage return
                     horizontal tab
- '\t'
- '\v'
                     vertical tab
                     backslash
- '\\'
- '\''
                     single quote
```

# Strings

- Issue: How should C represent strings?
- Thought process
  - String can be represented as a sequence of chars
  - How to know where char sequence ends?
    - Store length before char sequence?
    - Store special "sentinel" char after char sequence?
  - Strings are common in systems programming
  - C should be small/simple

Advantages/disadvantages?

# Strings (cont.)

#### Decisions

- Adopt a convention
  - String consists of a sequence of chars terminated with the null ('\0') character
- Use double-quote syntax (e.g. "abc", "hello") to represent a string constant
- Provide no other language features for handling strings
  - Delegate string handling to standard library functions

#### Examples

- "abc" is a string constant
- 'a' is a char constant
- "a" is a string constant

How many bytes?

# Logical Data Type

- Issue: How should C represent logical data?
- Thought process
  - Representing a logical value (TRUE or FALSE) requires only one bit
  - Smallest entity that can be addressed is one byte
  - Type char is one byte, so could be used to represent logical values
  - C should be small/simple

# Logical Data Type (cont.)

#### Decisions

- Don't define a logical data type,
- Represent logical data using type char, or any integer type
- Convention:  $0 \Rightarrow FALSE$ , non-0  $\Rightarrow TRUE$
- Convention used by:
  - Relational operators (<, >, etc.)
  - Logical operators (!, &&, ||)
  - Statements (if, while, etc.)

Was that a good decision? (See the next 2 slides)

# Logical Data Type (cont.)

#### Note

 Using integer data to represent logical data permits shortcuts

```
int i;
if (i) /* same as (i != 0) */
   statement1;
else
   statement2;
                                    beneficial?
```

Are such shortcuts

# Logical Data Type (cont.)

#### Note

 The lack of logical data type cripples compiler's ability to detect some errors

```
...
int i;
...
i = 0;
...
if (i = 5)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
...
```

What is the problem with this code? What is the effect of this code? How does Java handle this code?

# Floating-Point Data Types

- Issue: What floating-point data types should C have?
- Thought process
  - Systems programs use floating-point data infrequently
  - But some application domains (e.g. scientific) use floatingpoint data often
- Decisions
  - Provide three floating-point data types: float, double, and long double
  - bytes in float <= bytes in double <= bytes in long double
- Incidentally, on lab machines using gcc209
  - float: 4 bytes
  - double: 8 bytes
  - long double: 12 bytes

# Floating-Point Constants

- Issue: How should C represent floating-point constants?
- Thought process
  - Convenient to allow both fixed-point and scientific notation
  - Decimal is sufficient; no need for octal or hexadecimal
- Decisions
  - Any constant that contains decimal point or "E" is floatingpoint
  - The default floating-point type is double
  - Append "F" to indicate float
  - Append "L" to indicate long double
- Examples
  - double: 123.456, 1E-2, -1.23456E4
  - float: 123.456F, 1E-2F, -1.23456E4F
  - long double: 123.456L, 1E-2L, -1.23456E4L



## Feature 2: Operators

- A high-level programming language should have operators
- Operators combine with constants and variables to form expressions

## Kinds of Operators

- Issue: What kinds of operators should C have?
- Thought process
  - Should handle typical operations
  - Should handle bit-level programming ("bit fiddling")
- Decisions
  - Provide typical arithmetic operators: + \* / %
  - Provide typical relational operators: == != < <= > >=
    - Each evaluates to 0=>FALSE or 1=>TRUE
  - Provide typical logical operators: ! && ||
    - Each interprets 0=>FALSE, non-0=>TRUE
    - Each evaluates to 0=>FALSE or 1=>TRUE
  - Provide bitwise operators: ~ & | ^ >> <<</p>
  - Provide a cast operator: (type)

## Assignment Operator

- Issue: What about assignment?
- Thought process
  - Must have a way to assign a value to a variable
  - Many high-level languages provide an assignment statement
  - Would be more expressive to define an assignment operator
    - Performs assignment, and then evaluates to the assigned value
    - Allows expressions that involve assignment to appear within larger expressions

#### Decisions

- Provide assignment operator: =
- Define assignment operator so it changes the value of a variable, and also evaluates to that value

# Assignment Operator (cont.)

Examples

```
Does the
i = 0;
                                         expressiveness
 /* Assign 0 to i. Evaluate to 0.
                                         affect clarity?
     Discard the 0. */
i = j = 0;
  /* Assign 0 to j. Evaluate to 0.
     Assign 0 to i. Evaluate to 0.
     Discard the 0. */
while ((i = getchar()) != EOF) ...
   /* Read a character. Assign it to i.
      Evaluate to that character.
      Compare that character to EOF.
      Evaluate to 0 (FALSE) or 1 (TRUE). */
```

# Increment and Decrement Operators

- Issue: Should C provide increment and decrement operators?
- Thought process
  - The construct i = i + 1 is common
  - Special purpose increment and decrement operators would make code more expressive
  - Such operators would complicate the language and compiler
- Decisions
  - The convenience outweighs the complication
  - Provide increment and decrement operators: ++ --

Was that a good decision?

## Special-Purpose Assignment Operators

- Issue: Should C provide special-purpose assignment operators?
- Thought process
  - Constructs such as i = i + n and i = i \* n are common.
  - Special-purpose assignment operators would make code more expressive
  - Such operators would complicate the language and compiler
- Decisions
  - The convenience outweighs the complication
  - Provide special-purpose assignment operators: += -= \*= /=
     ~= &= |= ^= <<= >>=



## Sizeof Operator

- Issue: How can programmers determine the sizes of data?
- Thought process
  - The sizes of most primitive types are unspecified
  - C must provide a way to determine the size of a given data type programmatically
- Decisions
  - Provide a sizeof operator
    - Applied at compile-time
    - Operand can be a data type
    - Operand can be an expression, from which the compiler infers a data type
- Examples, on lab machines using gcc209
  - sizeof(int) evaluates to 4
  - sizeof(i) evaluates to 4 (where i is a variable of type int)
  - sizeof(i+1) evaluates to 4 (where i is a variable of type int)

## Other Operators

- Issue: What other operators should C have?
- Decisions
  - Function call operator
    - Should mimic the familiar mathematical notation
    - function(param1, param2, ...)
  - Conditional operator: ?:
    - The only ternary operator
    - See King book
  - Sequence operator: ,
    - See King book
  - Pointer-related operators: & \*
    - Described later in the course
  - Structure-related operators (. ->)
    - Described later in the course


## Feature 3: Control Statements

- A programming language must provide statements
- Some statements must affect flow of control

## **Control Statements**


 Issue: What control statements should C provide?

- Thought process
  - Boehm and Jacopini proved that any algorithm can be expressed as the nesting of only 3 control structures:




**Barry Boehm** 


#### (1) Sequence



#### (2) Selection



## (3) Repetition



- Thought Process (cont.)
  - **Dijkstra** argued that any algorithm **should** be expressed using only those three control structures (*GOTO Statement Considered Harmful* paper)
  - The ALGOL programming language implemented control statements accordingly



Edsgar Dijkstra

#### Decisions

- Provide statements to implement those 3 control structures
- For convenience, provide a few extras

## Sequence Statement

 Issue: How should C implement sequence?

- Decision
  - Compound statement, alias block

```
{
    statement1;
    statement2;
    ...
}
```

## Selection Statements

 Issue: How should C implement selection?

#### Decisions

• if statement, for one-path or two-path decisions

```
if (integerExpr)
    statement1;
```

```
if (integerExpr)
    statement1;
else
    statement2;
```

## Selection Statements (cont.)

Decisions (cont.)

switch and break statements, for multi-path decisions

```
What if these
switch (integerExpr) {
                                     break
   case integerConstant1:
                                     statements are
      break;
                                     omitted?
   case integerConstant2:
      break;
                             Was that use of
   default:
                            break a good
                            design decision?
```

## Repetition Statements

- Issue: How should C implement repetition?
- Decisions
  - while statement, for general repetition

```
while (integerExpr)
    statement;
```

for statement, for counting loops

```
for (initialExpr; integerExpr; incrementExpr)
    statement;
```

do...while statement, for loops with test at trailing edge

```
do
    statement;
while (integerExpr);
```

## Other Control Statements

- Issue: What other control statements should C provide?
- Decisions
  - break statement (revisited)
    - Breaks out of closest enclosing switch or repetition statement
  - continue statement
    - Skips remainder of current loop iteration
    - Continues with next loop iteration
    - Can be difficult to understand; generally should avoid
  - goto statement and labels
    - Avoid!!! (as per Dijkstra)

## Feature 4: Input/Output

- A programming language must provide facilities for reading and writing data
- Alternative: A programming environment must provide such facilities

# Input/Output Facilities

- Issue: Should C provide I/O facilities?
- Thought process
  - Unix provides the stream abstraction
    - A stream is a sequence of characters
  - Unix provides 3 standard streams
    - Standard input, standard output, standard error
  - C should be able to use those streams, and others
  - I/O facilities are complex
  - C should be small/simple
- Decisions
  - Do not provide I/O facilities in C
  - Instead provide a standard library containing I/O facilities
    - Constants: **EOF**
    - Data types: **FILE** (described later in course)
    - Variables: stdin, stdout, and stderr
    - Functions: ...

# Reading Characters

- Issue: What functions should C provide for reading characters from standard input?
- Thought process
  - Need function to read a single character from stdin
  - Function must have a way to indicate failure, that is, to indicate that no characters remain
- Decisions
  - Provide getchar() function
  - Make return type of getchar() wider than char
    - Make it int; that's the natural word size
  - Define getchar() to return EOF (a special non-character int)
     to indicate failure
- Note
  - There is no such thing as "the **EOF** character"

## Writing Characters

- Issue: What functions should C provide for writing a character to standard output?
- Thought process
  - Need function to write a single character to stdout
- Decisions
  - Provide a putchar() function
  - Define **putchar()** to accept one parameter
    - For symmetry with getchar(), parameter should be an int

## Reading Other Data Types

- Issue: What functions should C provide for reading data of other primitive types?
- Thought process
  - Must convert external form (sequence of character codes) to internal form
  - Could provide getshort(), getint(), getfloat(), etc.
  - Could provide one parameterized function to read any primitive type of data
- Decisions
  - Provide scanf() function
  - Can read any primitive type of data
  - First parameter is a **format string** containing **conversion** specifications
- See King book for details

## Writing Other Data Types

- Issue: What functions should C provide for writing data of other primitive types?
- Thought process
  - Must convert internal form to external form (sequence of character codes)
  - Could provide putshort(), putint(), putfloat(), etc.
  - Could provide one parameterized function to write any primitive type of data
- Decisions
  - Provide printf() function
  - Can write any primitive type of data
  - First parameter is a **format string** containing **conversion** specifications
- See King book for details

## Other I/O Facilities

- Issue: What other I/O functions should C provide?
- Decisions
  - fopen(): Open a stream
  - fclose(): Close a stream
  - fgetc(): Read a character from specified stream
  - fputc(): Write a character to specified stream
  - fgets (): Read a line/string from specified stream
  - fputs(): Write a line/string to specified stream
  - fscanf(): Read data from specified stream
  - fprintf(): Write data to specified stream
- Described in King book, and later in the course after covering files, arrays, and strings

## Summary

- C's design goals affected decisions concerning language features:
  - Data types
  - Operators
  - Control statements
  - I/O facilities
- Knowing the design goals and how they affected the design decisions can yield a rich understanding of C